# **DELEGATED DECISION OFFICER REPORT**

AUTHORISATION	INITIALS	DATE
File completed and officer recommendation:	DB	27/10/20
Planning Development Manager authorisation:	SCE	28.10.2020
Admin checks / despatch completed	DB	29.10.20
Technician Final Checks/ Scanned / LC Notified / UU Emails:	BB	29/10/2020

Application:20/01183/LUPROPTown / Parish: Harwich Town Council

Applicant: Mr Adam Marsh and Miss Sophie Hunter

Address: 87 Long Meadows Dovercourt Harwich

**Development**: Proposed single storey rear extension.

### 1. Town / Parish Council

Harwich Town Council

#### 2. <u>Consultation Responses</u>

N/A

### 3. Planning History

06/00736/FUL	3 bedroom detached house.	Withdrawn	28.06.2006
16/30180/PREAPP	Erection of dwelling.		18.08.2016
16/01411/OUT	Outline planning application with all matters reserved for one dwelling.	Approved	27.10.2016
17/00801/DETAIL	Reserved matters application for one dwelling.	Approved	04.07.2017
17/01205/DISCON	Discharge of condtions 4 (materials) and 5 (fencing/screen walls) of planning permission 16/01411/OUT.	Approved	25.07.2017
17/01409/DISCON	Discharge of condition 04 (materials) of planning permission 16/01411/OUT.	Approved	29.08.2017
20/00500/LUPRO P	Proposed new single storey rear extension.		09.06.2020
20/01183/LUPRO	Proposed single storey rear	Current	

## 4. <u>Relevant Policies / Government Guidance</u>

Not Applicable

## Status of the Local Plan

The 'development plan' for Tendring is the 2007 'adopted' Local Plan. Paragraph 213 of the NPPF (2019) allows local planning authorities to give due weight to adopted albeit outdated policies according to their degree of consistency with the policies in the NPPF. Paragraph 48 of the NPPF also allows weight to be given to policies in emerging plans according to their stage of preparation, the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies and the degree of consistency with national policy. As of 16th June 2017, the emerging Local Plan for Tendring is the Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft.

Section 1 of the Local Plan (which sets out the strategy for growth across North Essex including Tendring, Colchester and Braintree) was examined in January and May 2018, with further hearing sessions in January 2020. The Inspector issued his findings in respect of the legal compliance and soundness of the Section 1 Plan in May 2020. He confirmed that the plan was legally compliant and that the housing and employment targets for each of the North Essex Authorities, including Tendring, were sound. However, he has recommended that for the plan to proceed to adoption, modifications will be required – including the removal of two of the three Garden Communities 'Garden Communities' proposed along the A120 (to the West of Braintree and on the Colchester/Braintree Border) that were designed to deliver longer-term sustainable growth in the latter half of the plan period and beyond 2033.

The three North Essex Authorities are currently considering the Inspector's advice and the implications of such modifications with a view to agreeing a way forward for the Local Plan. With the Local Plan requiring modifications which, in due course, will be the subject of consultation on their own right, its policies cannot yet carry the full weight of adopted policy, however they can carry some weight in the determination of planning applications – increasing with each stage of the plan-making process.

The examination of Section 2 of the Local Plan (which contains more specific policies and proposals for Tendring) will progress once modifications to the Section 1 have been consulted upon and agreed by the Inspector. Where emerging policies are particularly relevant to a planning application and can be given some weight in line with the principles set out in paragraph 48 of the NPPF, they will be considered and, where appropriate, referred to in decision notices. In general terms however, more weight will be given to policies in the NPPF and the adopted Local Plan.

### 5. Officer Appraisal (including Site Description and Proposal)

### Site Description

The site is located to the South of Long Meadows. The site serves a detached 2 storey dwelling constructed from red brickwork with a pitched tiled roof. The surrounding streetscene is comprised of a similar design and appearance, other materials present throughout the streetscene include exposed red brick construction and painted render.

### Proposal

The application seeks the issuing of a Lawful Development Certificate for the proposed development of a single storey rear extension.

A lawful use certificate is 'a certificate issued by a local planning authority, on application, stating that an existing (LDC 191) or proposed use (LDC 192), or other forms of development, can be considered as lawful for planning purposes'.

Main considerations are;

- Planning history
- General Permitted Development Order
- Conclusion

### Planning History

No conditions were found on previous planning applications for the site restricting permitted development rights.

General Permitted Development Order

### Class A - the enlargement, improvement or other alteration of a dwellinghouse

A.1 Development is not permitted by Class A if—

(a) Permission to use the dwellinghouse as a dwellinghouse has been granted only by virtue of Class M, N, P or Q of Part 3 of this Schedule (changes of use); **The proposal complies.** 

(b) as a result of the works, the total area of ground covered by buildings within the curtilage of the dwellinghouse (other than the original dwellinghouse) would exceed 50% of the total area of the curtilage (excluding the ground area of the original dwellinghouse); **The proposal complies.** 

(c) the height of the part of the dwellinghouse enlarged, improved or altered would exceed the height of the highest part of the roof of the existing dwellinghouse; **The proposal complies.** 

(d) the height of the eaves of the part of the dwellinghouse enlarged, improved or altered would exceed the height of the eaves of the existing dwellinghouse; **The proposal complies.** 

(e) the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would extend beyond a wall which—

- (i) forms the principal elevation of the original dwellinghouse; or
- (ii) fronts a highway and forms a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse;

The proposal neither extends beyond the principle elevation or fronts a highway and forms a side elevation of the original dwelling house. **The proposal complies.** 

(f) subject to paragraph (g), the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would have a single storey and—

(i) extend beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse by more than 4 metres in the case of a detached dwellinghouse, or 3 metres in the case of any other dwellinghouse, or (ii) exceed 4 metres in height;

The proposal is single storey and extends 3.35 meters from the rear wall of the original swelling house and as the property is detached this is not an issue. **The proposal complies.** 

(g) until 30th May 2019, for a dwellinghouse not on article 2(3) land nor on a site of special scientific interest, the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would have a single storey and—
(i) extend beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse by more than 8 metres in the case of a detached dwellinghouse, or 6 metres in the case of any other dwellinghouse, or
(ii) Exceed 4 metres in height;

The proposal neither extends beyond the rear wall of the original dwelling house by more than 8 meters nor exceeds 4 meters in height. **The proposal complies.** 

(h) the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would have more than a single storey and—
(i) extend beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse by more than 3 metres, or
(ii) be within 7 metres of any boundary of the curtilage of the dwellinghouse opposite the rear wall of the dwellinghouse;

The proposal does not feature more than one storey. The proposal complies.

 the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would be within 2 metres of the boundary of the curtilage of the dwellinghouse, and the height of the eaves of the enlarged part would exceed 3 metres;

The height of the eaves of the proposal does not exceed 3 meters in height. **The proposal complies.** 

(j) the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would extend beyond a wall forming a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse, and would—

- (i) exceed 4 metres in height,
- (ii) have more than a single storey, or
- (iii) have a width greater than half the width of the original dwellinghouse; or

### The proposal complies.

(k) it would consist of or include—

(i) the construction or provision of a veranda, balcony or raised platform,

(ii) the installation, alteration or replacement of a microwave antenna,

- (iii) the installation, alteration or replacement of a chimney, flue or soil and vent pipe, or
- (iv) an alteration to any part of the roof of the dwellinghouse.

None of the above considerations apply to this proposal. The proposal complies.

A.2 In the case of a dwellinghouse on article 2(3) land, development is not permitted by Class A if—

(a) it would consist of or include the cladding of any part of the exterior of the dwellinghouse with stone, artificial stone, pebble dash, render, timber, plastic or tiles;

(b) the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would extend beyond a wall forming a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse; or

(c) the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse would have more than a single storey and extend beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse.

### The dwellinghouse is not on article 2(3) land.

# Conditions

A.3 Development is permitted by Class A subject to the following conditions-

(a) the materials used in any exterior work (other than materials used in the construction of a conservatory) must be of a similar appearance to those used in the construction of the exterior of the existing dwellinghouse;

(b) any upper-floor window located in a wall or roof slope forming a side elevation of the dwellinghouse must be-

(i) obscure-glazed, and

(ii) non-opening unless the parts of the window which can be opened are more than 1.7 metres above the floor of the room in which the window is installed; and

(c) where the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse has more than a single storey, the roof pitch of the enlarged part must, so far as practicable, be the same as the roof pitch of the original dwellinghouse.

### The proposal complies with conditions A.3

### 6. <u>Recommendation</u>

Lawful Use Certificate Granted

### 7. Conditions / Reasons for Refusal

1 The proposed development constitutes Permitted Development by virtue of the provisions of Scheule 2, Part 1 Class A of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended).

#### 8. Informatives

Not Applicable

Are there any letters to be sent to applicant / agent with the decision? If so please specify:	YES	NO
Are there any third parties to be informed of the decision? If so, please specify:	YES	NO